When you enter the Japanese house in the Boston Children’s Museum, says Yukio Lippit ’92, “you feel a little bit Alice in Wonderland-ish.” Stepping into this nineteenth-century Kyoto merchant’s house does feel like going down the rabbit hole. The color and clutter of the neighboring exhibits disappear. Aside from tatami mats on the floor and a low table, the house appears empty.

But Lippit, who is Weston associate professor in the humanities, sees more. In the timber frame, taken apart in Kyoto and rebuilt on the third floor of the museum during 1979 and 1980, he points out the same sort of joinery that holds up thousand-year-old temples and majestic castles. In an alcove made of nearly a dozen kinds of wood, he finds in the interplay of wood grains a subtle decoration that draws the viewer’s attention. Far from empty, the venerable house contains its own history. “The interesting thing about a townhouse like this,” Lippit says, “is it stands at the intersection of almost every kind of architecture you can imagine.”

By focusing on the pillars and walls of the merchant’s house (an example of the kyo-machiya style), Lippit is trying to understand Japanese buildings in a new context. “There is a way of narrating the history of Japanese architecture as changes in style,” he says. “That’s not to be dismissed.” But Lippit believes he can also approach the country’s architectural past in terms of the archipelago’s ecology, most notably its history of deforestation. To do so, he studies both what he calls Japan’s “culture of building” and its “human-forest relationship.” The former, already evident in sixth-century architecture, refers to what materials builders used and how they procured and fashioned them. The latter, appearing more clearly in the early modern architecture of the sixteenth century, refers to what the builders’ choices said about how they saw their environment and themselves. “I don’t think it’s a matter of choosing one paradigm or the other,” he cautions. “But I think that the ecological framework really needs to be considered.” In his research and teaching, his new approach to natural materials and the built world has begun to blossom.

If past generations of scholars have taken the ecological side of architecture for granted, Lippit says, this is partly because historical data, on both forests and the buildings they become, have been scarce. Master carpenters in Japan have rebuilt important temples and homes for centuries with particular attention to using the same woods and styles, but until a few decades ago, these restorations went largely undocumented. New restorations, with complete documentation, allow historians to determine what wood was used, how it was fitted together, and even where it originated. Dendrochronological analyses, placing a sample of wood under a microscope, can date a building even more exactly, says Lippit. “I don’t think we had the same tools at our disposal even 10 or 15 years ago.”

Fifteen years ago, Lippit wasn’t studying Japanese architecture at all. After growing up in Tokyo and in Riverside, California, near Los Angeles, he came to Harvard and concentrated in literature. He then won a two-year traveling fellowship, which he spent working at the Guimet in Paris, a museum famous for its Asian holdings. A newfound passion for Japanese art led him to Princeton, where he earned his Ph.D. in 2003, specializing in pre-modern painting. The path to temples was actually a short one.
“Architecture and painting,” he explains, “are actually closely related in the Japanese context”: for instance, aristocrats not only displayed artwork in their homes, they also turned the mansions themselves into works of art with paintings on walls and sliding doors.

After receiving his doctorate (and a position at Harvard in the same year), Lippit decided to teach architectural history. “Considering, as I do, that it’s one of the most remarkable architectural traditions imaginable,” he says, “premodern Japanese architecture
has been dramatically under-studied.” Drawing on scholarly work by Conrad Totman, Ph.D. ’64 (an emeritus professor at Yale who has written a history of Japanese forestry), and William Coaldrake, Ph.D. ’83 (the head of Japanese studies at the University of Melbourne, and a carpenter), Lippit designed a course that explores, among other topics, the intertwined history of Japan’s architecture and ecology, a relationship that goes back more than a thousand years.

Japan’s culture of building changed rapidly in the early sixth century, when Korean craftsmen brought the secrets of monumental timber-frame engineering across the Sea of Japan. Local carpenters already relied on elaborate wooden joints, rather than nails, to hold buildings together, but the Koreans taught them how to support enormous structures with heavy tile roofs (like the one on the merchant’s house) by funneling the buildings’ weight through brackets and sturdy foundational pillars. Suddenly, those Japanese master carpenters who practiced the Korean techniques could build on a previously unimaginable scale.

Their tree of choice was Japanese cypress (Chamaecyparis obtusa). Growing up to 40 meters tall, these trees initially covered much of the archipelago. “Cypress from very early on was recognized as a superior building material for timber-frame structures,” Lippit explains. A cypress tree’s straight, tight grain makes it resistant to

Most of the Todaiji complex has disappeared, lost to natural disaster and civil war. When originally built, the Great Buddha Hall (above, as restored in the seventeenth century) rested on 48 structural pillars, each 30 meters tall and 1.5 meters wide. According to William Coaldrake’s The Way of the Carpenter, the project “employed 227 site supervisors, 917 master builders, and 1,438 laborers. At peak periods over 1,000 cooks prepared meals for the different craftsmen and laborers at the site.” The Great Buddha itself (left) sits inside the hall. In order to cast it, bronze workers used about 163,000 cubic feet of charcoal—also derived from wood.
Cypress also has a rich, creamy color and a scent that he describes as “very faint natural wood incense, with even a touch of eucalyptus.”

Its structural and aesthetic qualities impressed the ruling elite, who lived in the Kinai basin (home of modern-day Kyoto and Osaka). These powerful clan leaders hired master carpenters to build palaces and sponsored the construction of Shinto shrines and Buddhist temples—one of which, Horyuji, still stands. By the year 628, dozens of Buddhist monasteries already dotted the basin. Cypress was plentiful, and the pace of building only increased. “There was a national campaign in the eighth century to build temples in every province in Japan under imperial control,” says Lippit. Although exact historical figures are elusive, the late
Japanese historian Tokoro Mitsuo estimated that, between 600 and 850, monasteries alone used some three million cubic meters of wood. And this monumental construction, Lippit notes, represented only a fraction of the wood that nobles and commoners were using for their fuel and homes. As Kinai’s old-growth forests were disappearing, carpenters had to push farther and farther up into the surrounding mountains to find the cypress their patrons craved.

In the case of the imperial monastery Todaiji, architectural aspiration confronted ecological reality. When it was first built in the 740s, Todaiji included two nine-story pagodas and a great hall 50 meters tall and 86 meters wide. The temple required 84 major cypress pillars and used up at least 2,200 acres of forest. It burned down during a battle in 1180, and a monk named Shunjobo Chogen set out on a quest to rebuild it, soliciting contributions from all over western Japan. His work crews had to travel, too, trekking hundreds of kilometers from the Kinai basin in search of untouched groves.

In *The Green Archipelago*, Conrad Totman describes the Herculean labor of finding and transporting suitable cypress. The group of trees they finally chose “was well past its prime,” he writes. “But logging crews commenced felling anyway. Many of the trees were found to be hollow, knotty, or untrue, and workmen had to fell several hundred to obtain the few score required for pillars.” They then leveled a valley in order to winch the cypress to a river that turned out to be too shallow for the logs to float in, so they constructed a network of 118 dams to raise the water level and ferry their wood to the Inland Sea, where they had to contend with local pirates. All this, Totman reiterates, was just for the pillars. The rest of the wood came from at least 10 different provinces. “Reconstruction was completed during the 1190s,” Totman notes, “but it still yielded a main hall only half as spacious as the original.” The old culture of monumental building, so reliant on a single material, was “proving difficult to maintain.”

During Japan’s medieval period (1050 to 1550), the scarcity of high-quality wood forced carpenters to adapt. Around 1300, deforestation spurred the invention of new tools. “Radical changes were precipitated by the medieval equivalent of the modern-day energy crisis,” writes William Coaldrake in *The Way of the Carpenter*. “The Japanese began to run out of straight-grained timber of structural dimensions.” Carpenters designed new tools to handle red pine and zelkova. They borrowed technology from those who forged samurai swords, adding a steel coating to formerly iron tools. The flexibility of the steel made the tools especially responsive, like “an extension of the wrist,” says Lippit. “You could wield them like a brush.” The steel could “cypress-ize” unruly wood to some extent, but more joints were necessary to connect smaller pieces of timber, a change evident in the appearance of medieval buildings.

Although master carpenters still could and did build large timber-frame structures, political power in the medieval period was too dispersed among quarreling warlords to sustain a national
building program. But in the early modern era, which began in the late 1500s, the upstart general Toyotomi Hideyoshi brought the warring factions to heel. The rulers of Kinai had never been able to extend their reach much beyond the basin, but Hideyoshi, by unifying Japan, had the resources of the entire archipelago within his grasp and could gather cypress and other rare trees from its remotest corners. By the time Hideyoshi came to power, the golden age of Japan-

In the 1930s, the architect Bruno Taut proclaimed the seventeenth-century Katsura Villa a modernist ideal: it connected inside and outside (opposite page), had free-flowing spaces and modular rooms (top), and was, above all, austere. Lippit agrees with much of Taut’s assessment, but thinks he missed Katsura’s smaller decorative touches. According to a recent conservation report, the villa’s original builders put the structure together using 49 different kinds of wood, choreographing the different grains into pleasing patterns (above and right). The conservation report also found that a special dyeing process had accentuated the contrasting grains, and that some of the wood had not, in fact, been procured by local woodcutters, but had come from quite far away. Far from being austere, the entire villa invites attention to its minutest detail. "Ironically," Lippit points out, "it’s subverting its own rusticity."
ese castle construction had already begun, and with it came rapid urbanization. Formerly, castles had been little more than fortresses perched on mountaintops. The new castle was more administrative palace than inaccessible fortress. Although these palaces required a staggering amount of timber (in some cases around 2,000 acres’ worth), the real ecological damage came elsewhere. “The reason why castles are associated with forestry predation,” explains Lippit, “is that they’re at the centers of large, sprawling cities made out of wood.” Tokugawa Ieyasu, Hideyoshi’s successor, oversaw roughly 260 domains, each with a warlord who wanted a castle of his own. “It’s probably safe to say that right around the mid seventeenth century was a real low point in this larger man-forest relationship,” says Lippit.

While castle towns were putting unprecedented pressure on Japanese forests, a new architectural style emerged. Sukiy architecture, influenced by the sixteenth-century tea ceremony, imagined the human-forest relationship very differently. Previously, that relationship had been largely utilitarian (the right wood for the right job). But in sukiya, wood was chosen not only for its structural properties, but also for its ability to communicate certain values important to the tea ceremony: rusticity, imperfection, and humility. “During Japan’s medieval period,” says Lippit, “there emerged among the elite an ideal of rusticity and reclu- sion, one that was strongly influenced by Buddhist thought as well. Those who embraced this ideal usually imagined a rustic habitat to be the perfect antithesis to their monastic, aristocratic, or other urban obligations.” Where elite architecture had prized straight grains, smooth surfaces, and uniformity, sukiya brought to the fore tangled grains, hollows and knots (unthinkable in official buildings), and the use of as many different kinds of wood as possible.

Sukiy architecture, in theory, reflected the availability of local wood. The more the building put its occupant in mind of the humble woodcutter’s makeshift hut, the bet- ter. “The single-minded focus on certain species” like cypress was much more potentially harmful ecologically than the use of a variety of different types of trees that sukiya called for, Lippit says. Using different types of wood promoted selective cutting, for example, whereas loggers often used clear-cutting to obtain cypress. (Although regenerative forestry practices in the 1800s saved the archipelago from ecological disaster, Japanese builders still deal with shortages. Cypress, in particular, remains rare, and often has to be imported from Taiwan.)

Sukiy also changed forestry practices. Certain horticultural tricks, such as clipping a tree’s branches years before cutting it down, could yield knottier wood. In one of the highest forms of teahouse aesthetic, the log that marked the boundary between a formal reception room and the tokonoma alcove, where the occupant knelt to write or pray, would have precisely three knots: it was perfectly imperfect. “I don’t know if it’s bringing man and nature together,” says Lippit, “but it’s definitely bringing man and the way he imagines the wilderness together.”

Despite its insistence on humility, sukiya was an elite form of architecture, best exemplified by the luxurious Katsura Villa outside Kyoto. Built for friends of the imperial family in the early seventeenth century, the villa is a series of modular rooms that open onto lush gardens. Inside, the variety of mismatched wood differs markedly from the creamy walls of cypress temples. (Some pieces are still covered in bark.) By employing these imperfections, the villa’s owners depicted the humble rusticity they wanted to ascribe to themselves.

Not only aristocrats wanted to imagine themselves this way. Sukiy proved readily portable, and city-dwellers, who couldn’t afford country estates, incorporated its elements into their homes. “By the nineteenth century,” Lippit notes, “you can have your country living in your townhouse, with your garden and your sukiya effects.”

The merchant’s house at the Boston Children’s Museum, on closer inspection, is full of sukiya touches within its timber frame. In its own tokonoma alcove, tucked in a corner near the back of the house, Lippit found no fewer than 10 types of wood. The pine pillar separating the alcove and a small shrine, with its knots and cutaways (places where a carpenter deliberately chipped the wood to vary its appearance) is at once structural and decorative. He can trace the legacy of both ancient and early-modern architecture on every wall panel and wooden beam. Considered one at a time, these pieces add up to a complete history. “You can really only understand a Japanese building,” he says, “by taking it apart.”

Paul Gleason is staff writer at this magazine.